International Association for Caribbean Archaeology (IACA)

Code of Ethics

This Code of Ethics is founded on the principles of:

- inclusivity, regardless of identity, race, ethnicity, nationality, gender, sexual orientation, disability status, or other personal characteristics;
- equity;
- responsible stewardship of the archaeological record, and;
- accountability to the academic community and our peers; the host communities and organizations with whom we work; and the local physical environment.

We aim to provide an association that maintains and promotes best practice in archaeology and its related disciplines, and that is environmentally and socially conscientious. The following rules therefore apply to IACA Members operating in any professional context, both inside and outside the Congress. During the Congress, Members should also abide by the rules of the host country, organization, and venue.

This document establishes the standards of Member behavior, the process for filing a complaint, and the disciplinary action that can be taken. Contravention of any section of this Code can result in consequences for the offending Member as determined through the steps outlined in Section 9. Members are encouraged to alert the Ethical Conduct Panel when they learn of potential violations and may also consult the Best Practice Guide for further details relevant to each section.

Definition of Terms Used

Abuse (A pattern of) verbal or physical actions that are cruel and/or exploitative.

Bullying (A pattern of) verbal or physical behavior that is intended to harm, coerce, or

intimidate another person.

Unethical collector A person who purchases or otherwise acquires looted archaeological

artifacts.

Complainant A person making a complaint about (alleged) misconduct by a Member. This

person does not have to be a Member of IACA themself.

Discrimination Includes but is not limited to: exclusion of individuals and groups because of

their characteristics, and/or bullying behavior because of their characteristics (for example coercion, blackmail, and threatening, offensive, humiliating,

intimidating, malicious, insulting or patronizing behavior that causes harm to

other individuals).

Equity Providing disadvantaged groups with the mechanisms, tools, and resources

to succeed at the same rate as privileged groups (this is not the same as 'equality', which is based on providing the same opportunities for everyone

without adjustment for complicating factors such as race, class, and gender).

Ethical practice Archaeological and academic practice that is fair, moral, truthful, and honest.

It may vary depending on the context.

Ethical Conduct Panel A group of 3 to 5 Members tasked with examining ethical issues within the

organization, especially complaints made about Members.

Harassment Includes but is not limited to behaviors such as: unwanted photography or

recording, intimidation, stalking, following, sustained disruption of conference presentations, inappropriate physical contact, physical violence,

unwelcome sexual and intimate attention, and revenge pornography.

Looting Removing an archaeological artifact from its provenience without standard

archaeological documentation.

Member Anyone who has paid the IACA membership fee for the current two-year

window (this automatically includes all Congress attendees, since payment of

the membership fee is mandatory for Congress attendance).

Microaggression Actions or words which (consciously or unconsciously) discriminate against or

negatively impact marginalized groups (based on any protected identity).

Misconduct Behavior which violates this Code of Ethics.

Protected identities Personal attributes such as race, class, gender, sexuality, nationality, age,

ethnicity, and religion.

Researcher For the purposes of this document, this word refers to anyone conducting

archaeological work in the Caribbean or using Caribbean archaeological

materials, including those employed by commercial companies.

Respect Due regard for the wishes, rights, and feelings of others (both living and dead).

It may vary depending on the context.

Respondent A Member who is being accused of (alleged) misconduct.

Special finds As opposed to 'bulk finds', which may be found in vast quantities at a given

site, 'special finds' or 'small finds' are those less commonly found that may be useful for diagnostic purposes, are made from unusual materials requiring alternative treatment, or are otherwise notable in some way relevant to the

context.

1. Fieldwork

As an organization that respects the Caribbean, its communities, and their heritage, attention should be given to the ethics of fieldwork (research) in a way that benefits all parties.

Members should adhere to the following ethical principles regarding fieldwork:

- 1.1 Ascertain if there are local procedures and (international) treaties and include them in the research.
- 1.2 Acquire research permits (if applicable, each island has its own protocol) as well as permissions from private landowners, community groups that have a connection to the heritage, etc.
- 1.3 Make thorough Health and Safety/Risk Assessment plans for the community, staff (emergency numbers and local contacts, etc.), and site (e.g. in case of theft and site tampering).
- 1.4 Comply with verbal and written agreements with authorities, organizations, and stakeholders.
- 1.5 Consult the IACA Best Practice Guide.

2. Human Remains

Ethical issues with the handling of human remains primarily center around two areas:

- 2.1 Stakeholders Living and Dead
 - The wishes and needs of living stakeholder communities should be prioritized above the concerns of science and academia.
 - Human remains should be handled with respect, for example:
 - no needless destructive sampling
 - curation, analysis, and reburial practices should be chosen as appropriate for the context
 - in certain cases, it may be important to protect human remains frow view (e.g. screens shielding excavation areas, blurring of published images)

2.2 Professionalism in Bioarchaeology

- Local laws and rules for the excavation and handling of human remains should be followed at all times (e.g. Health and Safety guidelines).
- Those who excavate and analyze human remains should be properly qualified for these tasks and should follow the best practice guidelines of their discipline.

- Archaeological human remains are not property and should therefore never be bought and sold.
- Members should work against the illegal acquisition of human remains.

3. Looting and the Antiquities Market

IACA has long been an association of both professional and amateur archaeologists, but the latter should not be understood as mere "collectors" of portable antiquities. It is expected that Members have a genuine concern for the region's cultural material as an educational/research (not financial) investment. For this reason, Members are expected to adhere to disciplinary principles regarding the commercialization of the portable artifacts we study, namely:

- 3.1 Never loot a site (i.e. never remove artefacts from their context without following archaeological best practice).
- 3.2 Never participate in the buying or selling of archaeological artifacts.
- 3.3 Avoid researching, "authenticating," or otherwise validating (or providing valuations for) archaeological material in private collections (barring those deemed of unusual importance to science or society).

4. Curation and Archiving

Taking into consideration that most projects include fieldwork, and that this most likely will yield physical materials, it is important to take into account what happens to these materials at the end of a project. Members should adhere to the following ethical principles in this area:

- 4.1 Clear agreements between relevant authorities/organizations and researchers/research organizations should be made concerning the finances of the post-excavation processing, the storage location of excavated materials, the transfer (and formatting) of digital documentation, and agreement on finds/samples being taken abroad for further analysis.
- 4.2 Time for adjustment of the final report by relevant stakeholders.
- 4.3 Documents and records should be provided in a timely manner to the local heritage organization that deals with archaeology in an official capacity. If there are no such local organizations, then this information should be given to the local authorities.
- 4.4 Signed agreements between local authorities/organizations and researchers/research organizations, including all relevant paperwork (such as permits, customs papers, receipt acknowledgement, etc.) should be prepared when taking finds abroad for further analysis.

5. Public Engagement

Public engagement is a key aspect of archaeological research. It is a two-way process, aiming to generate mutual benefit through genuine dialogue, participation, and equitable collaborations. The funding for and evaluation of public engagement with archaeology should be planned in parallel with the research project. Members shall:

- 5.1 Accept responsibility for informing the public of the purpose and results of their work and make research results accessible to the public and living stakeholder communities.
- 5.2 Pursue equitable collaborations to foster a mutually beneficial working relationship and proactively inform and involve the public and living stakeholder communities with archaeological research process, results, and interpretations.
- 5.3 Prioritize engagement with living stakeholder communities with respect to their expertise and stewardship, and where possible, obtain the consent of living stakeholder communities for project activities.
- 5.4 Consult with and address views and concerns of individuals or group(s) about places, objects, human remains, or intangible heritage that hold significant cultural or religious meaning.
- 5.5 Build trust and mutual understanding between researchers and the public and strengthen the public accountability of Caribbean archaeologists.
- 5.6 Promote actions to ensure that Caribbean heritage is safeguarded, preserved, and valued.

6. Environmental Impact and Sustainability

IACA recognizes that normal professional conduct may have negative and unintended environmental consequences resulting from the consumption of scarce or non-renewable resources, pollution, waste production, and harm to biophysical systems. These effects may be felt at local, regional and/or global scales.

- 6.1 Members must comply with all relevant local, regional, and national environmental laws in their field and research activities, including obtaining all necessary environmental and biosecurity permits.
- 6.2 IACA Members have a duty of care to minimize, as much as possible, their ecological impact in the course of field, lab, analytic, curatorial, artefact/site conservation, and Congress activities. This includes but is not limited to: consideration of resource consumption (water, fossil fuels, natural resources); the production, recycling, and disposal of waste (for example, single-use plastics); the use of chemical treatments in analytic applications and material culture conservation; and the disruption of landscapes, wildlife, and biophysical systems during field activities.

7. Publication

IACA endorses the Core Practices set out by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE 2020) and encourages Members to be familiar with these areas of potential misconduct. Publication (including reports and published conference papers) should occur within a reasonable time frame of the work being carried out and should be made accessible to local and professional communities where possible.

8. Harassment

Harassment is defined as "unwanted conduct related to a relevant protected characteristic, [with] the purpose of violating [an individual's] dignity, or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment" (UK Government 2010). This behavior can be sexual or non-sexual in nature, and applies to both verbal and physical interactions, including behavior occurring online or in other written form or in images. It is related to the similar behaviors of abuse, discrimination, and bullying and all these behaviors are strictly prohibited for Members. Harassment and discrimination can be related to protected characteristics such as age, disability, gender, race, religion, and sexual orientation, and include repeated patterns of microaggression.

These actions are not tolerated by IACA. They hinder the exchange of ideas and production of knowledge by creating a hostile environment, which damages individuals, communities, and the advancement of our discipline. Any of the above behaviors therefore constitute serious misconduct. Any allegation of discrimination, harassment, bullying, or victimization will be treated seriously, regardless of the identities of those involved.

9. Process of Intervention

Contravention to any section of this Code shall result in consequences for the offender depending on the gravity of the case, determined through the due process as established and approved by IACA. Members are encouraged to alert the Ethical Conduct Panel when they learn of potential violations.



9.1 IACA Ethical Conduct Panel and Process Overview

An Ethical Conduct Panel will be formed, comprising three to five persons elected at a General Meeting, along with one Contact Person on the Board. The latter (Contact Person) will be an observer on the Panel, except in the event of a voting tie, in which case they have the deciding vote, and the Contact Person is also responsible for notifying the Respondent after the Board has approved the investigative report. Where possible, the Panel must comprise both senior and junior researchers, reflect the ethnic and cultural diversity of the IACA body, and include at least one male and one female member, with at least two members from the previous Panel (50% overlap). All Panel members must be in good standing with the Association and declare any conflicts of interest (previous violations, relationships with previous Complainants or Respondents, etc.) before taking their position (and again at the commencement of any adjudication). If a Panel member must be replaced due to conflicts of interest or lack of availability, the Board will appoint a new Panel member for a designated time or until a new election can be held at a General Meeting.

The Ethical Conduct Panel is tasked with investigating misconduct and reporting back to the Board in a timely manner. The Panel must conclude, based on the evidence, whether allegations of misconduct are:

- upheld in full
- upheld in part, or
- not upheld.

The standard of proof used is that of "on the balance of probabilities". The Panel will recommend if the matter requires disciplinary action, including expulsion from the Congress. The Panel can also recommend that the Respondent provides proof of ethics or bias training as part of their sanctions.

9.2 Reporting

A complaint form will be placed online for members to report wrongdoing. Complaints can also be lodged with any member of the Ethical Conduct Panel. Delegates reporting issues at the biennial Congress will be supported in person by a member of the Ethical Conduct Panel.

9.3 Confidentiality

All allegations will be investigated in confidence. All those who are involved in the investigation of an allegation, including witnesses, representatives, and persons providing information, evidence and/or advice, have a duty to maintain confidentiality.

At the initial reporting stage, the allegations will be anonymized for anyone beyond those investigating or adjudicating, before they are taken any further, to ensure the privacy of the person(s) making the allegation (the "Complainant(s)") and the person(s) who is/are the subject of the complaint (the "Respondent(s)").

If the process proceeds to a full investigation, it will normally be necessary to disclose the identity of the Complainant(s) as well as other relevant information to the person(s) who is/are the subject of the complaint (the Respondent) and others who will be involved in any subsequent investigation.

The Respondent will be notified of the complaint and will be allowed to provide a response to the allegations. Witness statements may be solicited for the Respondent and Complainant.

Whether an announcement of the incident should be given to Membership depends on the nature of the offence. In cases of harassment or assault, swift action and discretion should be undertaken in order to protect the victim.

9.4 Process

This section describes the general process for interventions.

- Step 1 Upon being made aware of a situation (via the online complaint form, a member of the Ethical Conduct Panel, or other means), a quorum of the Board may take immediate action if needed, especially if the Panel perceives imminent danger. This may include (but is not limited to):
 - reporting to local authorities
 - restriction of the violating Member's participation in activities
 - removal of the violating member from the Congress
 - or other reasonable steps depending on the nature of the misconduct.
- Step 2 The Ethical Conduct Panel must also be notified, if they are not already involved. This Panel will investigate the misconduct on behalf of the Association and compile a memo within a predetermined reasonable timeframe. The Panel will issue a written request for explanation from the Respondent, followed by suggesting a range of potential actions depending on the nature of the misconduct, including (but not limited to):
 - a first warning
 - intervention/mediation
 - removal from the Congress (if applicable), and
 - removal from the organization.
- Step 3 The Panel will report back to the Board with a memo summarizing the facts obtained, the Code section in violation, and proposed resolution.
- Step 4 The Board's representative on the Conduct Panel should not vote on the Board's decision, except in the circumstances of a tie.
- Step 5 A copy of the memo shall be provided to all Members directly involved in the case, adhering to the above stipulation of confidentiality. The Respondent should supply

- the Board with a written explanation to the warning within sixty (60) days of first query or warning.
- Step 6 If no response is received, the Board shall issue a written warning with a demand for a written explanation to the President and the Board within fourteen (14) days of the second warning. Clarification may be requested where necessary.
- Step 7 Failure to respond to the second warning within the allotted timeframe allows the Board to proceed with a decision without Respondent's input.
- Step 8 An interview or hearing may also be opted for by the Board, as necessary
- Step 9 After investigation, a decision shall be made by a simple majority vote of the Board, and the decision shall be effective immediately. No vote by the Membership will be conducted.

In short, the Ethical Conduct Panel investigates the matter and informs the Board; the Board decides what action should be taken.

9.5 Sanctions

In many cases of minor to moderate misconduct the Association should seek to facilitate training and education and/or arbitration (e.g. between the Complainant and Respondent). However, in more severe cases sanctions could include suspension or expulsion.

Examples of specific actions may include (but are not limited to):

- Asking the person to cease the inappropriate behavior, and warning them that any further reports will result in other sanctions
- Early termination of a talk that violates the policy
- Not allowing a speaker who violated the policy to give (further) talks at the event
- Immediately ending any event responsibilities or privileges held
- Restricting the participation of the Respondent, including in subsequent publications and proceedings of the Congress
- Requiring that the person immediately leave the event and not return (without a refund)
- Banning the person from future events (for a defined time period)
- Expulsion from organization and all associated activities (including social platforms)
- Contacting the local authorities in cases of illegal conduct

9.6 Appeals Panel and Process

If a complaint is upheld, all Respondents have a right of appeal, which should be directed to the President of the Association. The President would then convene an Appeal Panel, comprised similarly to the Ethical Conduct Panel (but not voted in by the IACA Membership), to review the case under the same conditions as the original Panel. The Appeals Panel cannot contain any members of the original

Panel. A key principle of the procedure is fairness. This means that when anyone is accused of

misconduct, that person must be given full details of the allegations in writing, and they must be given

the opportunity to respond to allegations raised. They must also be allowed to ask questions, present

information in their defense, and adduce evidence of witnesses. The Respondent and/or Complainant

and any other witnesses may provide a written statement that would be kept confidential. The

procedure must also seek to preserve confidentiality and not reveal the identities of Complainants or

Respondents outside of those involved in the investigation and adjudication process.

References

COPE (2020) Promoting integrity in scholarly research and its publication. Available at:

https://publicationethics.org/. Accessed: 19th June 2020.

ICMJE (2020) Defining the role of authors and contributors. Available at:

http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-

authors-and-contributors.html. Accessed: 19th June 2020.

UK Government (2010) Equality Act. Available at:

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/section/26. Accessed: 19th May 2020.

UNESCO (1970) Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and

Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property. Available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-

URL_ID=13039&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html. Accessed: 24th August 2020.

Compiled By

Felicia J Fricke

Christina Giovas

Jonathan A Hanna

Tibisay Sankatsing Nava

Amy Victorina

Date of Draft: 13th January 2021

Adopted On:

10